

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/049,227	CLARKE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Susan T. Tran	1615

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** *allowable*

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Bruce Zoller.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 September 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to amend claims 67 and 68 similar to claim 38. Applicant's attorney authorized the examiner's amendment*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)